





Kirklees College Higher Education

ACADEMIC MALPRACTICE POLICY

Document: Higher Education Academic Malpractice Policy			
Version: 2.0	Author: Kim Harrison Programme Quality		
	Lead (HE)		
Approved: 20 th May 2019	Approved by: HE Committee		
Date effective: September 1 2019	Date of next review: May 2023		
Superseded version: 1	Distribution: All teaching staff via		
	SharePoint		



ACADEMIC MALPRACTICE REGULATIONS

DEFINITION, EXAMPLES AND TYPES OF ACADEMIC MALPRACTICE

1.0 Definition

1.1 Academic Malpractice

Academic malpractice is defined as any activity, or attempted activity, which gives an unfair advantage to one or more students over their peers.

The definitions and descriptions in this paper are indicative and not exhaustive. The College will periodically review the utility of the types of offence within its process and will consider, on the basis of previous cases, any perceived need to revise these types or their descriptions.

2.0 Decision Making

Decisions on the type of academic malpractice suspected need to be made on a case-by-case basis by staff in the light of the circumstances of each case and all the relevant and available evidence. What follows should be seen by way of guidelines only.

In making such decisions, interpreting the guidelines and deciding/recommending appropriate penalties, the following factors may need to be considered:

- 2.1 **Continuity:** the continued commission of academic malpractice offences should normally be dealt with more severely than a single act. **NOTE**: where a student commits (for the first time) multiple acts of the same offence at the same time, even if in different assessments or modules, then this will normally be regarded as a single act on the basis that the student may not have been aware of the issues. However, where multiple acts occur as a second or subsequent offence they need not be treated as a single act.
- 2.2 **Scale and Extent:** An academic malpractice offence may relate to a very small proportion of a piece of assessed work, (for example in an essay a few lines or a single paragraph) or may be present in a large scale throughout the whole of an assignment.

2.3 **Theft of Materials:** where another person's assessed work has been stolen and effectively used without their permission, or some part of a piece of work has been stolen, for example a set of results, a piece of code etc., then this may be dealt with more severely.

3.0 Table of Definitions, Examples and Types of Academic Malpractice

The College recognises three broad types of academic malpractice, as outlined in the table below, which includes examples, the stage to be undertaken and the recommended penalty.

Туре	Examples	Stage Recom			
Academic	First academic malpractice	Informal	Penalty 1		
Negligence	offence, for example:		Give appropriate advice		
(regarded as the	Plagiarism: (see section 5.0 of		and guidance on how to		
least serious)	this Appendix).		avoid academic		
	Small in scale and may be		malpractice		
	present in only part of the				
	work –		Enter the occurrence		
	Related only to the work of		onto Record of Academic		
	the individual student and		malpractice form		
	not the work of others.				
	Ignorance: Could be		Send a signed copy of		
	considered to have resulted		the form to the		
	from ignorance of		Programme Quality		
	requirements or a		Leader HE who will		
	misunderstanding, or it could		record the incident on		
	be that an attempt to follow		the record of academic		
	good practice has failed, for		malpractice		
	example inappropriate use of				
	secondary sources.				
	Careless: May be considered				
	to be due to lack of care and				
	forgetfulness.				
Academic	Plagiarism:	Stage 1	Penalty 2		
Malpractice	Systematic and extensive				
	oversight of		Enter the occurrence		
	all normal academic		onto Record of Academic		
	requirements, e.g. extensive		malpractice form		
	paraphrasing with no				
	attempt to acknowledge		Send a signed copy of		
	sources.		the form to the		
	Systematic failure to		Programme Quality		
	reference, as		Leader HE who will		
	opposed simply to poor		record the incident on		
	referencing.		the record of academic		
	Failure to follow tutor		malpractice		
	instructions as regards				
	extent and limit of any		The student will attend a		
	group work.		meeting with the		
	 Second and subsequent alleged 		Academic Misconduct		
	offences that would normally		Committee who will		
	have been classified as academic		examine the evidence		
	negligence.		and ascertain whether		
	Self Plagiarism: Submitting work		academic malpractice		
			has taken place. If so		

and the test of the second		The study of the second
which is in whole or part identical to work already submitted by that student for another assignment		The student to re-submit the entire piece of assessment in question, having rectified the academic malpractice issues. The work will be capped at a Pass If the re-submitted work receives a fail mark or
		the student chooses not to re-submit the standard assessment regulations will apply.
	Second and subsequent Offences Stage 2	Penalty 3 The student will attend a meeting with the Academic Misconduct Committee who will examine the evidence and ascertain whether academic malpractice has taken place. If so the assessed work will be treated as a fail and a mark of zero entered.
		Penalty 4 The student will attend a meeting with the Academic Misconduct Committee who will examine the evidence and ascertain whether academic malpractice has taken place. If so the Unit/Module will be treated as a fail and a mark of zero entered.
		Inform the student that there is no re assessment opportunity and no opportunity to repeat the module or complete an alternative module. Withdraw the student

			study. Student retains credits gained up to that point of study, with any award that this may lead to.
Academic Cheating (regarded as the most serious)	 Collusion: Collusion exists where a student: Submits as entirely their own, with intention to gain unfair advantage, work done in conjunction with another, when this is not a requirement of the assessment. Permits another student to copy all or part of their work and the latter student then submits it as their own unaided work. Theft. Falsification of results/data: The presentation of data in laboratory reports, projects etc., based on experimental work falsely purported to have been carried out by the student, or obtained by unfair means. This also includes the manipulation, tampering with and adding of data in experimental or similar situations. Personation: The legal term for what is usually referred to as 'impersonation'. Personation is thus the assumption by one person of the identity of another person with intent to deceive or to gain unfair advantage. It may exist where: One person assumes the identity of a student, with the intention of gaining unfair advantage for that student. The student is knowingly and willingly impersonated by 	All stage 3	 to. Penalty 5 The student will attend a meeting with the Academic Misconduct Committee who will examine the evidence and ascertain whether academic cheating has taken place. If this is upheld the penalty is Award a mark of zero for the module. Enter the occurrence onto Record of Academic malpractice form Send a signed copy of the form to the Programme Quality Leader HE who will record the incident on the record of academic malpractice Inform the student that there is no reassessment opportunity and no opportunity to repeat the module or complete an alternative module. Or Withdraw the student from the programme of study. Student retains credits gained up to that point of study, with any award that this may lead to.
	 another with the intention of gaining unfair advantage for themselves Modification: The submission of a piece of work known to have 		

	been originated by another but
	which the student has
	deliberately modified to make it
	appear as if it was their own
	piece of work.
•	Unauthorised possession of
	confidential staff materials
	relating to an assessment, such
	as would give the student an
	unfair advantage.
•	 Any attempt to interfere with or
	revise recorded marks.
•	 Examination Irregularities, which
	may include the following:
	Communicating with or
	copying from any other
	students during an
	examination.
	Communicating during an
	examination with any person
	other than an authorised
	member of staff.
	Taking any written, printed
	materials or electronically
	stored information into the
	examination room, unless
	expressly permitted by the
	examination or programme
	regulations.
	Gaining access to any
	unauthorised material
	relating to an examination
	during or before the
	examination.
	Obtaining a copy of an
	'unseen' examination
	question paper in advance of
	the date and time for its
	authorised release.
	Breach of the regulations
	with reference to the
	'Instructions to Students
	undertaking Examinations'.
•	Purchase/commissioning of a
	piece of work from another party
	which is passed off as their own
	work.
•	Submitting a fraudulent case of
	mitigating circumstances.
•	 Assisting other students to
	commit an academic offence.

 Bribery: The offering of money or other incentives to persuade a person to influence a behaviour which gives them an unfair advantage over their peers. Any other practice which the School Academic Malpractice Stage 2 Hearing considers to be cheating. 		

4.0 Procedure for an Appeal Panel Hearing

- The Chair will outline the procedure of the Appeal Panel Hearing to all parties.
- The Chair will ask the student and/or friend to present their case in support of their appeal.
- Members of the Appeal Panel may ask questions of the student and/or their friend.
- The Chair will ask the student and/or their friend to leave the meeting.
- The Chair will ask the School representative(s) to present their case.
- Members of the Appeal Panel may ask questions of the School representative(s).
- The Chair will ask the School representative(s) to leave the meeting.
- The Panel will consider the evidence in private and reach a decision

Academic Misconduct Appeal Panel Decision

After consideration of the available evidence relating to an application, the appeal Panel may:

Reject the application;

or

Accept the application

The Appeal Panel shall keep a record of its proceedings. The decision shall be circulated to the student within 5 working days of the Appeal panel. The decision will be reported at the Assessment Board.